

From: Jorge Gonzalez-cruz jgonzalezcruz@ccny.cuny.edu
Subject: RE: 5153401: Decision Finalized
Date: January 8, 2017 at 8:01 PM
To: Patrick Frank pfrank830@earthlink.net
Cc: Rana Ali rana.ali@hindawi.com

JG

Thanks Dr. Frank. These detail responses are new to me. I will review them carefully, and advise if a re-submission corresponds. Meanwhile, my decision stands.

Sincerely,

J.

Prof. Jorge E. Gonzalez, Ph.D. FASME
NOAA CREST Professor of Mechanical Engineering
The City College of New York
Office: Steinman Hall (T-238)
140th and Convent Ave.
New York, NY 10031
Voice (212)650-5279
Email: jgonzalezcruz@ccny.cuny.edu
Webpage: <http://cuerg.ccny.cuny.edu>

-----Original Message-----

From: Patrick Frank [\[mailto:pfrank830@earthlink.net\]](mailto:pfrank830@earthlink.net)
Sent: Sunday, January 8, 2017 10:53 PM
To: Jorge Gonzalez-cruz
Cc: Rana Ali
Subject: Re: 5153401: Decision Finalized

Dear Prof. Gonzalez,

Apparently you did not receive the entire submission file, which should have included documents from prior submission 3852317. This seems a grievous omission.

I attach the point-by-point responses to the reviews for previous manuscript 3852317 for your information.

Please convince yourself about the quality of the two negative reviews. I have no doubt but that you'll agree they are entirely incompetent.

With two incompetent reviews, and a third review finding no error, manuscript 3852317 should have been published after the first round.

Apparently you did not receive the Editorial Supplement with 5153401, either. It would have provided ample evidence of the general incompetence of climate modelers as regards physical error analysis.

I also attach my response to your one reviewer from the 2014 review he mentions, which is effectively identical to the review provided to you. On examination of the response, you'll find the review thoroughly incompetent.

Your reviewer is not expert in error analysis. He is incompetent in the subject. He is not qualified to review a manuscript on physical error analysis. His is not a peer review.

The caution of inexpertise was included in my cover letter, and copious evidence was provided. I regret it was ignored.

If you were not given the full submission documentation, you have every right to be embarrassed, and upset with the journal. There would be no excuse for such fundamental negligence.

Yours sincerely,

Patrick Frank, Ph.D.
Palo Alto, CA 94301
email: pfrank830@earthlink.net

++++
These things are, we conjecture, like the truth; But as for certain truth, no one has known it.

Xenophanes, 570-500 BCE
++++

