

From: Patrick Frank pfrank830@earthlink.net
Subject: Response to review, ms 5153401
Date: January 16, 2017 at 12:19 PM
To: Jorge Gonzalez-cruz jgonzalezcruz@ccny.cuny.edu, gonzalez@me.ccny.cuny.edu
Cc: Rana Ali rana.ali@hindawi.com

PF

Dear Prof. Gonzalez-cruz,

Please find attached the author response to review #1 of Advances in Meteorology, manuscript 5153401.

To summarize, the review indicated no understanding of physical error nor of its analysis, nor of the meaning or importance of a unique solution, nor of the impact of error on confidence in a result.

The reviewer's mistakes included, but were not restricted to:

- mistaking error statistics for energetic perturbations. This is a fatal mistake.
- mistaking propagated error for model response. This is a fatal mistake.
- These two mistakes alone caused the reviewer's Stefan-Boltzmann analysis to be entirely in error.

In short, the review is scientifically meritless. It has no analytical force whatever.

The review is also word-for-word identical, even to grammatical mistakes, to the review the same reviewer provided to the International Journal of Climatology for a 2014 version of manuscript 5153401.

For the editor's convenience, I have converted the reviewer's page and line references to match the present manuscript.

This email and the attached response document complete the file for Advances in Meteorology submission #5153401. The submission is now closed.

Finally, I thank the editor for his candor and for bringing professional integrity to a review process that the Journal itself apparently violated intentionally.

Yours sincerely,

Patrick Frank, Ph.D.
Palo Alto, CA 94301
email: pfrank830@earthlink.net
++++
These things are, we conjecture, like the truth;
But as for certain truth, no one has known it.

Xenophanes, 570-500 BCE

++++



Reviewer 1
Respo....v1.pdf