

From: Patrick Frank pfrank830@earthlink.net
Subject: Re: JOC-14-0316 - Decision on Manuscript
Date: September 2, 2014 at 8:47 PM
To: huth@ufa.cas.cz

PF

Dear Prof. Huth,

Thank-you for your email of this date.

Hoping for your indulgence, Reviewer 1 has repeated his review of a prior submission almost verbatim, including the completely wrong, indeed physically impossible, energy-balance analysis. See my analysis in item 10.2 in the Supporting Information, which explicitly explained the reviewer's error. It appears reviewer 1 remains unaware of his mistake.

Reviewer 1 also continues to misconstrue a physical uncertainty with an energetic perturbation. Reviewer 3 has made the same very basic mistake.

I believe illuminating these reviewer mistakes will obviate the notion that the manuscript is fatally flawed.

With respect, I ask your permission to provide a response to these reviewers, consistent with the standard practice of science.

Thank-you very much for your consideration,

Pat

Patrick Frank, Ph.D.

Palo Alto, CA 94301

email: pfrank830@earthlink.net

+++++

These things are, we conjecture, like the truth;

But as for certain truth, no one has known it.

Xenophanes, 570-500 BCE

+++++

On Sep 2, 2014, at 3:16 AM, huth@ufa.cas.cz wrote:

02-Sep-2014

Dear Dr Frank

Manuscript # JOC-14-0316 entitled "Propagation of Error and the Reliability of Global Air Temperature Projections" which you submitted to the International Journal of Climatology, has been reviewed. The comments of the referees, all of whom are leading international experts in this field, are included with this letter.

As you can see, two of three reviewers found fundamental flaws in your manuscript. It has, therefore, been denied publication in the International Journal of Climatology.

Sincerely,

Dr Radan Huth

International Journal of Climatology

huth@ufa.cas.cz