


From: Patrick Frank pfrank830@earthlink.net 
Subject: Responses to JOC-14-0623 reviews
Date: January 25, 2015 at 12:11 PM
To: huth@ufa.cas.cz



Dear Dr. Huth,

The attached responses demonstrate the incompetence of the reviews.

There remain no scientific grounds for rejection.

I am still looking for an editor with the courage to be a scientist. Why not you?

Still sincerely wondering,

Pat

Never, in 35 years of publishing peer-reviewed science, have I ever experienced a uniformly incompetent class of reviews, until I encountered climate modelers. These leading international experts in climate modeling know nothing of error analysis. Their negative reviews do not imply critical competence.

Patrick Frank
Palo Alto, CA 94301

email: pfrank830@earthlink.net

+++++

These things are, we conjecture, like the truth;
But as for certain truth, no one has known it.

Xenophanes, 570-500 BCE

+++++



JOC-14-0623
Respo...r 1.pdf



JOC-14-0623
Respo...r 2.pdf