


From: Patrick Frank pfrank830@earthlink.net 
Subject: For your records
Date: March 23, 2014 at 8:02 PM
To: jgr-atmospheres@agu.org



Dear Prof. Ghan,

Please find responses to the three round two reviews. This completes the file on 2013JD021338.

Not one of the five reviews displayed any understanding of physical error or of its propagation.

Confidence intervals were invariably represented as physical perturbations and propagated error as model response.

This first-year undergraduate mistake was especially and repeatedly evident in review #3, absenting most of its critical force.

Never before, in 30+ years of publishing science, have I ever encountered a suite of reviews so uniformly inapt.

Yours sincerely,

Pat Frank

Patrick Frank
Palo Alto, CA 94301

email: pfrank830@earthlink.net

+++++

These things are, we conjecture, like the truth;
But as for certain truth, no one has known it.

Xenophanes, 570-500 BCE

+++++



Response to
Reviewer #1.pdf



Response to
Reviewer #2.pdf



Response to
Reviewer #3.pdf