

Subject: Re: Appeal request for PONE-D-18-14400
From: Annie Evans <plosone@plos.org>
Date: 9/5/18, 3:01 PM
To: "pfrank@slac.stanford.edu" <pfrank@slac.stanford.edu>

Dear Dr. Frank,

Thank you for following up with us and please accept my sincere apologies for the delay in getting back to you.

We appreciate that the decision is disappointing; however, please know that your manuscript was considered carefully by all parties. Again, we sympathize with your disappointment and apologize that the outcome of the evaluation of your submission was not more positive.

Kind regards,
Annie Evans

PLOS | OPEN FOR DISCOVERY
Annie Evans | Publications Assistant, PLOS ONE
1160 Battery Street, Suite 225, San Francisco, CA 94111
plosone@plos.org

Case Number: 05923581

----- Original Message -----

From: Patrick Frank [pfrank@slac.stanford.edu]
Sent: 8/23/2018 7:24 PM
To: jheber@plos.org
Cc: frances.trayler@editorialoffice.co.uk; plosone@plos.org
Subject: Editorial Judgement Requested

Dear Dr. Heber,

Do you accede to the clear and objective violation of PLoS ethical standards in the appeal decision of case 05876565 PONE-D-18-14400? [EMID:8b1ee6ca19d337da]

The case is grave, the breach is obvious, and your explicit judgement is requested.

I have included you in all my prior email concerning this submission.

However, either Ms. Trayler or Ms. Massingham in the editorial office can provide you the case file.

Please include Ms. Trayler and Ms. Massingham in your reply, as witnesses to your decision.

Thank-you for your consideration,

Pat

--

Patrick Frank, Ph.D.
Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource
SLAC
Stanford University

Tel: +1-650-723-2479

email: pfrank@slac.stanford.edu

ref:_00DU0Ifis._5000BmiFKN:ref