

**From:** Tony Cox TCoxDenver@aol.com  
**Subject:** Re: Risk Analysis Manuscript Decision  
**Date:** August 23, 2013 at 1:07 AM  
**To:** Patrick Frank pfrank830@earthlink.net  
**Cc:** lambert@virginia.edu

TC

For more information on the scope of our journal, please

see <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1539-6924.2008.01138.x/abstract>,

I agree that your results look quite interesting for a climate change audience. However, the methods you use seem to me to have limited application in the mainstream of health, safety, environmental (HS&E) and adversarial risk analysis, where most of our emphasis is. Thus, I agree with Dr. Lambert's assessment, I do hope you will submit your work to a journal more concerned with climate change modeling and policy.

Best,

-- Tony

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 22, 2013, at 10:30 PM, Patrick Frank <[pfrank830@earthlink.net](mailto:pfrank830@earthlink.net)> wrote:

Such a result is hardly "quite narrow" in approach. Nor is it conceivable that this result is without "interest or lessons" for an audience concerned with risk analysis.