

From: Patrick Frank pfrank830@earthlink.net
Subject: Re: Science Bulletin - CSB-2015-0821 has been rejected
Date: September 2, 2015 at 10:05 PM
To: zhixin@scichina.org
Cc: rensi@scichina.org

PF

Dear Mr. Wang,

Manuscript CSB-2015-0821 is the first of its kind, is the most important critique of climate models in at least 25 years, and is centrally relevant to the most important scientific controversy of our day.

And yet you suggest it is neither novel nor significant nor interesting.

Your grounds for rejection are incredible and ludicrous.

The road of editorial cowardice is well-marked in climate science. Such people make science a sham and integrity an imposture.

Cordially yours,

Pat Frank

Patrick Frank
Palo Alto, CA 94301
email: pfrank830@earthlink.net
++++
These things are, we conjecture, like the truth;
But as for certain truth, no one has known it.

Xenophanes, 570-500 BCE
++++

On Sep 2, 2015, at 3:56 PM, zhixin@scichina.org wrote:

03-Sep-2015

Dear Dr. Frank:

Thank you for submitting your manuscript (ID: # CSB-2015-0821; title: "Propagation of Error and the Reliability of Global Air Temperature Projections") to Science Bulletin.

We have given it serious attention and read it carefully. The criteria for Science Bulletin to evaluate manuscripts are the novelty and significance of the research, and whether it is interesting for a broad scientific audience. Unfortunately, your manuscript does not reach a priority sufficient for a full review in our journal. We regret to inform you that we will not consider it further for publication.

Thank you again for considering Science Bulletin for the publication of your research. We are very sorry that we cannot at this time give a more positive reply and we hope the outcome of this specific submission will not discourage you from submitting further manuscripts.

Sincerely,
Science Bulletin Editorial Office